

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,618	/688,618 10/17/2003		Masanori Shinozaki	12844.0048US01	2442
23552	7590	05/12/2005		EXAM	INER
MERCHAI P.O. BOX 2		OULD PC		PENG, KUO LIANG	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1712	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/688,618	SHINOZAKI, MASANORI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Failure to reply within the set or extended period for a	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a communication. try (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the m statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC reply will, by statute, cause the application to become of this after the mailing date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>3/18/05 RCE</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected:						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	striction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None o						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	ies of the priority documents have bee ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	en received in this National Stage				
	ction for a list of the certified copies no	at received				
200 mo andoned detailed office at	oner for a net of the certified copies file	. rootivou.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 	w (PTO-948) Paper No P or PTO/SR/08) 5) ☐ Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/18/05</u> .	6) Other: _					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 051405				

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 18, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP757 (JP 11-207757).

JP757 discloses a solid lubricant comprising a suspension having a RBC suspended in a liquid resin such as epoxy resin, etc. ([0006]-[0013]) Note that the

Art Unit: 1712

suspension is capable of forming a dry film by coating and drying thereof. The English translation of the instant reference has been requested by Examiner. It will be available to Applicants later upon request.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP389 (JP 03-060389).

JP389 discloses a solid lubricant comprising a suspension having a rice bran suspended in a liquid thermosetting resin. (Claim 1) the rice bran is defatted and carbonized into RBC. (Claim 3 and Figures) Note that the suspension is capable of forming a dry film by coating and drying thereof. The English translation of the instant reference has been requested by Examiner. It will be available to Applicants later upon request.

5. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shinozaki (JP 2002-030222).

Shinozaki discloses a sliding member having a sliding surface covered with a solid lubricant comprising a suspension having a RBC suspended in a liquid thermosetting resin such as polyacetal, etc. ([0016]-[0019]) The amount of RBC can be 22 to 50 wt% as described in [0021]-[0022] and [0024]. The mean particle

Art Unit: 1712

diameter of RBC can be 2 to 5 microns as described in [0025]. The English translation of the instant reference has been requested by Examiner. It will be available to Applicants later upon request.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP757.

JP757 discloses a solid lubricant, supra, which is incorporated herein by reference. JP757 is silent on the amount of the RBC used and the mean particle diameter of the RBC. However, JP757 teaches that the incorporation of the RBC can affect the wear resistance. ([0004]) Note that the wear resistance of a material primarily depends on the surface characteristics thereof. In turn, the amount and the mean particle diameter of the RBC can dramatically affect the surface

Art Unit: 1712

characteristics. In other words, the amount and the mean particle diameter are Result-Effective variables. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize a RBC in whatever amount and having whatever mean particle diameter through routine experimentation in order to obtain a solid lubricant having a desired wear resistance. Especially, Applicants do not show the criticalities of the amount and the mean particle diameter of the RBC. See MPEP 2144.05 (II).

8. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP389.

JP389 discloses a solid lubricant, supra, which is incorporated herein by reference. JP389 is silent on the amount of the RBC used and the mean particle diameter of the RBC. However, JP389 teaches that the incorporation of the RBC can affect the friction coefficient of the material formed. (Figure 3) Note that the friction coefficient of a material primarily depends on the surface characteristics thereof. In turn, the amount and the mean particle diameter of the RBC can dramatically affect the surface characteristics. In other words, the amount and the mean particle diameter are Result-Effective variables. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was

Art Unit: 1712

made to utilize a RBC in whatever amount and having whatever mean particle diameter through routine experimentation in order to obtain a solid lubricant having a desired friction coefficient. Especially, Applicants do not show the criticalities of the amount and the mean particle diameter of the RBC. See MPEP 2144.05 (II).

- 9. When the RBC is used in an amount of greater than 50 wt% and up to 74 wt%, the following rejection applies.
- 10. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinozaki (JP 2002-030222).

Shinozaki discloses a sliding member having a sliding surface covered with a solid lubricant, supra, which is incorporated herein by reference. Shinozaki is silent on the use of RBC in an amount of greater than 50 wt% and up to 74 wt%. However, Shinozaki further teaches in Figure 4 that the more RBC is used, the less the friction coefficient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the RBC in an amount of greater than 50 wt% through routine experimentation in order to obtain a sliding member with a even lower friction coefficient. Especially, Applicants do

Art Unit: 1712

not show the criticality of the RBC amount of greater than 50 wt% and up to 74 wt%. See MPEP 2144.05 (II).

11. Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the above references teaches or fairly suggests the use of an acrylsilicone resin.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 1712

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp May 10, 2005

> Kud-Liang Peng Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

Page 8